

SAN LUIS REY RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT AREA
WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN WORKGROUP

MEETING SUMMARY

CITY OF VISTA CIVIC CENTER, DELPY ROOM
200 CIVIC CENTER (1ST FLOOR, ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT)
THURSDAY AUGUST 22, 2019, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

Meeting start 09:06

MEETING ATTENDEES

| NAMES | ORGANIZATION | VOTING MEMBER |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Jon Nottage | City of Vista | Yes |
| Brian Nemerow (arrived late) | City of Vista | No |
| Justin Gamble | City of Oceanside | Yes |
| Neil Searing | County of San Diego | Yes |
| Stephanie Gaines (telephone) | County of San Diego | No |
| Jo Ann weber (telephone) | County of San Diego | No |
| Mark Bonsavage | Camp Pendleton | No |
| John Quenzer (telephone) | D-Max | No |
| Roya Yazdanifard (telephone) | Caltrans | No |
| Sheri Dister | Weston Solutions | No |
| Amy Margolis | Weston Solutions | No |

AGENDA ITEM 3: OPPORTUNITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (LIMIT TO 3 MINUTES)

No comments were given.

AGENDA ITEM 4: APPROVAL OF MEETING SUMMARIES – VOTING ITEM

The meeting summary from July 17, 2019 was not sent to the group and a vote was not taken. The summary will be sent after today's meeting and a vote on the July and August summaries will take place at the September meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 5: REGIONAL BOARD WQIP REVIEW

- A. SLR WMA Letter – Neil (County of San Diego) led the discussion regarding the Regional Board WQIP Annual Report Review Letter
- Item 2: Monitoring data submittals are being tracked and have been on time.

- Item 3: Issues associated with the handling of WQIP numeric goals and schedules in the WQIP Annual Report included the use of non-major outfalls in the dry weather progress assessment, the determination that flow from two outfalls was uncontrollable and therefore excluded from the dry weather Lower River progress assessment, and reliance on the WQIP's reasonable assurance analysis (with identified deficiencies) in progress assessments.
 - The group discussed their potential responses to these items. Much of the information/data being requested is already available in the report but needs to be emphasized, or will not be difficult to add. The exception is baseline calculations for pathways not currently being utilized to determine compliance (e.g., exceedances due to natural sources). These data are not needed since these pathways are not being addressed at this time.
 - Item 4 will be discussed in reference to the attachment.
 - Item 5: The WQIP update is not required until 2022 but agricultural lands will need to be considered as a controllable source and included in determining HPWQCs.
 - The group discussed potential ramifications related to Agricultural Order enforcement authority.
 - Item 6 requires the Copermittees to adaptively manage their programs based on outfall exceedances that were used to select the highest priority outfalls.
 - The outfalls listed are likely historical names and/or outfalls that have since dried. This should not be difficult to address in the WQIP Annual Report; a crosswalk can be created linking historical to current outfall names and determining whether the outfalls are now dry or are still considered high priorities.
- B. Attachment 1 Items that need to be addressed in the upcoming WQIP Annual Report were discussed.
- #9 – Monitoring Inconsistencies
 - 9a – C values in pollutant loading calculations: Sheri noted that the group is conducting this assessment based on what the Permit specifies. A separate monitoring workgroup meeting is planned to address this. This item is not required this year, so the group could do same in past years or work toward a response.
 - 9b – monitoring completeness: Sheri suggested that this completeness check could be addressed by adding a column to the crosswalk in Appendix 1. Stephanie noted that a collective response will be discussed at an upcoming ad hoc meeting.
 - 9c – FIB: Requires a discussion of the new State standards (Bacteria Provisions) in monitoring programs. The group agreed that the monitoring program will be updated when required. Sheri noted that E. coli data are collected for Lower River goals monitoring and are attached but not assessed in the report.
 - #11 – Annual Report Data
 - 11a – Structural BMP data: The County is looking into providing the list given in this item, but some of this information is not required by the Permit and may not be available. The items that are required by the Permit are available and will be provided. The group discussed whether this request may only be in reference to BMPs used in the reasonable assurance model; if that is the case, the requested information should be available. The Regional Board will be asked for clarification.
 - 11b – High Priority Outfall criteria: The County has prioritization criteria, which just needs to be described to comply with this item. Neil noted that the County prioritization spreadsheet could be provided as an attachment.

- 11c – Monitoring data: Neil noted he discussed this item with Weston, and the existing budget covers this request. Weston just needs information for any special studies that Weston is not a part of.
- The Regional Board Letter will be standing agenda item. A spreadsheet of letter items with notes indicating where clarification is needed will be provided, which the whole group can access and edit.

AGENDA ITEM 6: WATER QUALITY MONITORING UPDATE

Neil stated that there is not much to report at this time. Weekly TMDL monitoring continues, and results are back to non-detects.

AGENDA ITEM 7: WQIP ANNUAL REPORT

Sheri led the discussion on the 2018-2019 WQIP Annual Report.

- A. Approach for Adaptive Management requests
 - This item was addressed in the Regional Board Letter discussion. The adaptive management section will address the 2020 requirements.
- B. Special Studies
 - Sheri re-iterated that the intent is to organize this section to include more of a framework/context of knowledge gained and direction headed.
- The group discussed the reporting schedule. John (D-Max) is collecting strategy implementation updates. The deadline is listed as August 30th but will need to be extended.
- The next submittal will be dry weather field screening data. The County has completed the field work. Oceanside is finished except the second round of analytical monitoring, which is upcoming. Sheri re-iterated that the group can send what they have so that Weston can begin the QA process.
- John offered to send the updated IDDE template to those that request it.
- Sheri noted that she will be out of town for the September meeting. A presentation of SMC results is on the schedule. Amy can present or it can be deferred to the October meeting.
- The TMDL report will be completed before the draft annual report so that the group does not need to review them concurrently. TMDL assessments will be discussed at the October meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 8: JURISDICTIONAL UPDATES

City of Vista

- The City is responding to a BMP data request from the Regional Board.

City of Oceanside

- There is an issue with data used by Heal the Bay at the TMDL compliance point/AB411 point. E. coli data were used in a manner not consistent with the Bacteria Provisions and there is some uncertainty about where some of the data used by Heal the Bay came from. In addition, data were analyzed using a quick/cheap method of analysis which may result in false positive results. The Regional Board is looking into the validity of Heal the Bay's process.
- The City is developing an RFP for trash implementation.

County of San Diego

- The County's updates have already been discussed within previous agenda items.

AGENDA ITEM 9: OTHER ITEMS

No additional items were given.

AGENDA ITEM 10: NEXT WORKGROUP MEETING

The next recurring meeting is scheduled for Wednesday September 18th, 2019 from 9:00 to 11:00.

Meeting end 11:08.